



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,216	01/02/2004	Philip S. Siegel	067439.0157	1168
5073	7590	08/09/2005	EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/751,216	SIEGEL, PHILIP S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 April 2005.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3816/6/28</u>	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Specification

Applicant is asked to insert the missing data missing on page 1 of the specification.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-16 is noted. Claims 17-28 are hereby withdrawn.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 09/817,353 in view of Roman et al and Haseltine '143. Roman et al. and Haseltine disclose obvious variants of the elements recited in claims 1-16

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6,10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roman et al.

Roman et al. disclose a method of using the Internet to provide return labels to customers for facilitating returns of merchandise, comprising the steps of: receiving, from a customer, a request to initiate return processing (customer clicks on RETURN), via a web access tool associated with the customer (access tool is read as the computer on which the consumer is using and hence it is associated with him); displaying return information at the web access tool (pp0019 "the system provides instructions for return shipping); receiving return-related data from the customer, via the web access tool (pp0015 clickreturns .com receives information from customer regarding receipt number etc.), thereby identifying a return item; and generating data for printing a return label (pp0020 consumer prints a packing slip).

Claim 2, wherein the displaying step is performed by displaying a return information web page (page of click return.com is read as a web page).

Claim 3,4 official notice is taken regarding the old and notorious practice of generating a confirmation of a transaction on a separate page.

Claim 5: accessing a database to obtain customer information about the customer (see pp 0016 line 3), and wherein the displaying step includes displaying at least part of the customer information (the offered replacement product is read as part of customer information since it will reference the initial product).

Claim 6: accessing a database to obtain customer transaction information (see pp0016 line 3), and wherein the displaying step includes displaying at least part of the transaction information (the offered replacement product is read as transaction information since it will reference the initial purchased product).

Re claim 10/11: performed prior to the downloading step, of determining whether the return is valid (see pp 0016 line 2 submitted return is analyzed for fraud against a database). Official notice is taken regarding the giving of notice that the request has been rejected.

Claim 12: see pp 0016 e-tailer establish parameter e.g. rules to determine whether the return is valid..

Claims: 13/14/16: a merchant is notified of the return item (information about the customer is that he is returning the product undamaged) by the processing center pp0022 line 8).

Claim 15: pp0020 consumer prints a packing slip which obviously includes the step of downloading the data for printing a return label to the web access tool.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roman et al. in view of Haseltine '143. Roman et al. appears to be silent regarding a database dedicated to merchant return rules. However, Haseltine does disclose merchant specific rules for returning products which are in a database tied to the packing slip identifier. It would be obvious to modify the method of Roman et al. to include the merchant specific return rules, the motivation being the ability to accommodate different business practices. The use of a list to select a merchant is an old expedient in the art and official notice is taken thereof.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (571) 272-6780.

Joseph A. Fischetti
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3627


JOSEPH A. FISCHETTI
PRIMARY EXAMINER